ATTORNEY GENERAL - OFFICE COPY

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

v.

ORIGINAL FILED

OCT - 2 2007

LOS ANGELES
SUPERIOR COURT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

HOME DEPOT U.S.A., Inc., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: BC376095

PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT; ORDER PROPERTY OF A

(Version Revised 9/07)

It appearing to the Court that the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties; that Plaintiff, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (hereinafter "the People") by and through the EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California, BRETT J. MORRIS, Deputy Attorney General, and ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO, City Attorney of the City of Los Angeles, Patty Bilgin, Supervising City Attorney, STEVE COOLEY, District Attorney of Los Angeles County, STANLEY P. WILLIAMS, Assistant Head Deputy District Attorney, DANIEL J. WRIGHT, Deputy District Attorney, DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney of Monterey County, MATT BOGOSHIAN, Deputy District Attorney, ROD PACHECO, District Attorney of Riverside County, STEPHANIE B. WEISSMAN, Deputy District Attorney, JAMES P. WILLETT, District Attorney of San Joaquin County, DAVID J. IREY, Deputy District Attorney, and DOLORES A. CARR, District Attorney of Santa Clara

III

d. A photocopy of all of the payments made by Home Depot pursuant to Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 (or electronic confirmation of the wire transfer) shall be sent, at the same time that they are delivered or transferred for payment, to each of the People's representatives

3. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

identified in Paragraph 5.

Subject to Paragraph 11.2 and pursuant to provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181 and 25516.2, Business and Professions Code section 17203, and the Court's equitable powers, Home Depot shall undertake the following actions related to: (a) Covered Facilities that have not been permanently closed as of the date of entry of this Final Judgment by the Court; and, (b) any newly constructed facilities that Home Depot shall open after entry of this Final Judgment. This Permanent Injunction shall not apply to: (a) any facility that Home Depot may acquire after entry of this Final Judgment; or, (b) any facility once it has been closed and no hazardous material or hazardous waste remains at the premises. Any violation of the Permanent Injunction required by this Final Judgment shall be considered separate and in addition to any violation of the statutory or regulatory requirements.

- 3.1 Licensed Hazardous Waste Haulers: Home Depot shall only engage in the transportation of hazardous waste, or transfer custody of hazardous waste for transport to hazardous waste transporters, in compliance with Section 25163 of the California Health and Safety Code.
- 3.2 Certification of Hazardous Waste Program: Within 30 days of entry of this Final Judgment by the Court, and annually thereafter for the duration of the Permanent Injunction, Home Depot shall submit a certification to the People that an HHM III Program, or an equivalent program to that produced by Settling Defendant on September 18, 2007, to each of the undersigned offices acting on behalf of the People, has been adopted and administered for the duration of the year at each of the Covered Facilities that contain or generate hazardous materials or hazardous waste in the State of California. In addition, Home Depot shall provide an annual certification to the People beginning on the same date as the other certifications required hereunder in 2008, and continuing through the duration of the Permanent Injunction, that Home Depot has reviewed the HHM III or equivalent program and has updated that program to address intervening

changes in applicable California laws relating to hazardous waste. As used in this paragraph, "equivalent program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the HWCL in all material respects. For the duration of the Permanent Injunction, at the close of each quarter of each year Home Depot shall provide in accordance with the notice provisions of Paragraph 5 a copy of the most current version of the programs administered pursuant to this paragraph. The copies provided shall be electronically red-lined to specifically identify any additions or deletions made to each program as compared to the last version of that program provided to the People.

- Judgment by the Court, and annually thereafter for the duration of the Permanent Injunction, Home Depot shall submit a certification that, to the best of Home Depot's knowledge and belief, California governmental notices of violations and inspection reports (collectively "Inspections") relating to the violations alleged in the Complaint that are received by a Covered Facility or the Store Support Center of Home Depot, and any associated responsive correspondence ("Responses"), have been retained by Home Depot. True and correct copies of such Inspections and Responses, and all attachments thereto, shall be provided on a quarterly basis to the San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office and to the California Attorney General's Office.
- 3.4 Hazardous Waste Training: Home Depot shall maintain and administer a program to provide training to such employees in California as are required to be trained in hazardous waste management procedures pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25123.3(h) and any other regulations applicable to the Covered Facilities, and shall maintain documentation of such training as provided under that program for the duration of this Permanent Injunction. Home Depot contends that as of the date of the entry of this Final Judgment, the Covered Facilities qualify as small quantity generators or conditionally exempt generators and that at the time of the entry of this Final Judgment training for large quantity generators is not required for the Covered Facilities.
- 3.5 Hazardous Materials Training: Home Depot shall maintain and administer a program to provide training to such employees in California as are required to be trained in hazardous materials handling procedures pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section

13

11

12

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

27

25

2627

28

25504 and all other training requirements pursuant to Chapter 6.95 and its implementing regulations applicable at the Covered Facilities, and shall maintain documentation of such training as provided under that program for the duration of this Permanent Injunction.

3.6 Hazardous Waste Transport Containers: Home Depot shall maintain and administer a corporate policy that requires hazardous waste transporters that transport hazardous waste from Covered Facilities for off-site disposal to use Department of Transportation-approved containers, in accordance with Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.30.

3.7 Fire Code Program:

Except as provided in subdivision (c) of this Paragraph, prior to the a. execution of the Stipulation to the Entry of Final Judgment, Home Depot shall have provided to the People a proposed Fire Code Program that has been developed to comply with applicable Local, State, and Uniform fire codes (hereinafter "the fire codes"). Home Depot shall adopt and begin to administer this Fire Code Program at all Covered Facilities that are "Home Depot" branded retail stores prior to the entry of this Final Judgment by the Court. At all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores, Home Depot shall have delivered to each facility a communication that requires each facility to comply with the fire codes prior to the entry of this Final Judgment. Home Depot shall develop an enhanced version of the existing fire code procedures (an "interim Fire Code Program") and adopt and begin to administer the interim Fire Code Program at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores prior to entry of the Final Judgment by the Court. Home Depot then shall promptly review and evaluate compliance with the fire codes at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores to identify whether any modifications to the interim Fire Code Program may be necessary. Home Depot shall adopt and begin to administer the revised Fire Code Program at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores by January 15, 2008. As used in this paragraph, "equivalent program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the fire codes in all material respects.

b. Home Depot shall initiate the process of applying for any variances or opinions from the State Fire Marshal relating to the issues described in Exhibit H, attached hereto,

c. The People shall not pursue any violations of this Permanent Injunction against Home Depot based on any alleged violation or penalty relating to the issues # 3, 7, and 8 identified in Exhibit H, attached hereto, through and until October 15, 2007, and issues # 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 identified in Exhibit H through and until November 30, 2007. However, this exclusion shall not apply to any release or incident involving an imminent risk of death, serious bodily injury, explosion, or fire. Home Depot may provide notice of this Final Judgment to any Certified Unified Program Agency ("CUPA") and local fire department in California at the time of execution of the Stipulation to the Entry of Final Judgment by the Parties, and may request that any agency provide comments or objections. If a local fire department pursues any enforcement action relating to violations of the fire codes alleged to have occurred prior to October 15, 2007 and November 30, 2007, as applicable, Home Depot may notify the relevant agency of this Stipulation to the Entry of Final Judgment.

d. By January 15, 2008, Home Depot shall submit a certification to the People that Home Depot has adopted and is administering a Fire Code Program in the State of California at all Covered Facilities that are subject to the fire codes. For the duration of this Permanent Injunction, Home Depot also shall annually submit a certification to the People that Home Depot administered for the duration of the previous year the Fire Code Program in the State of California at all Covered Facilities that are subject to the fire codes. As used in this paragraph, "Fire Code Program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the fire codes in all material respects. For the duration of the Permanent Injunction, at the close of each quarter of each year Home Depot shall provide in accordance with the notice provisions of Paragraph 5 a copy of the most current version of the programs administered pursuant to this paragraph. The copies provided shall be electronically red-lined to specifically identify any additions or deletions made to each program as compared to the last version of that program provided to the People.

27 |

-24

28 | / /

///

Claims that have been alleged, or Claims that could have been asserted within the scope of the allegations set forth, in the Complaint in this matter up until execution of the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment by the Parties, according to statute, regulation, or ordinance by or through the People of the State of California ("Covered Matters"), against Home Depot and its subsidiaries, affiliates and corporate parents, and each of their affiliates and parents, Covered Facilities, successors, heirs, assigns, and their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, representatives, property owners, and facility operators ("Entities Covered by Settlement"). The People further covenant not to sue the Entities Covered by Settlement for any Covered Matter. Except as expressly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

In the event that litigation is filed by an entity which is not a party to this action against one of the Entities Covered by Settlement based upon Claims alleged in the Complaint, or which could have been asserted based on the Claims alleged in the Complaint, Home Depot shall notify the People within thirty (30) days of service of such litigation on Home Depot. If the People determine that the subsequent litigation is barred by the principles in this paragraph, the People will not oppose Home Depot in arguing that the subsequent litigation is barred by the principle of res judicata. No language in this paragraph nor any language in paragraph 3 will preclude Home Depot from asserting in any subsequent litigation any and all legal and equitable defenses regarding compliance with any provision in this Final Judgment or the laws or regulations cited in this Final Judgment or cited in the Complaint, including, but not limited to, res judicata.

- 4.2 The provisions of Paragraph 4.1 are effective as of the date of the entry of this Final Judgment but the continuing effect of such provisions is expressly conditioned on Home Depot's full payment of the civil penalty, costs and other commitment of payments specified in Paragraphs 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 of this Final Judgment.
- 4.3 Paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 have no effect on the ability of the People to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment, or to pursue or file a separate or additional action for any subsequent violation of statutory or regulatory requirements. This Court retains exclusive

jurisdiction to address any future claims for injunctive relief, penalty assessment or other relief for the Covered Facilities against Home Depot arising from or related to any alleged or actual violations of this Final Judgment.

- **4.4** Any violations of law, statute, regulation or ordinance, if any, by Home Depot which are not based on Claims alleged in the Complaint, or are not addressed as a Covered Matter in this Final Judgment, or which occur or exist after this Final Judgment is entered, are not resolved, settled or covered by this Final Judgment.
- 4.5 Home Depot shall not sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or agencies of the State of California, or any counties of the State of California, or any Fire department or agency, or any Certified Unified Program Agency, Participating Agency or Unified Program Agency as those terms are defined pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, section 25281, subdivisions (d)(1), (2) and (3) (collectively "Agencies"), or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys, arising out of or related to any matter expressly addressed by this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Home Depot, Home Depot reserves any and all rights to pursue any and all rights, claims, demands and defenses against such Agencies.
- 4.6 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Final Judgment, any claims or causes of action arising out of past or future releases, spills, leaks, discharges or disposal of hazardous wastes or hazardous substances caused or contributed to by Home Depot that may have occurred or may occur at a location that is not a Covered Facility for:
 - a. performance of cleanup, corrective action or response action;
 - b. criminal penalties, civil penalties, damages, injunctive relief; or,
 - c. recovery of response costs,

are not resolved by this Final Judgment, and such claims or causes of action, if any, are reserved by the People of the State of California; provided, however, that this exclusion does not apply to any matter asserted against the Home Depot arising out of or relating to the handling, disposal and/or transportation of hazardous materials and/or waste by EI, Slate, the 3E Company, Estes Trucking Company ("Estes"), Exel, and any subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of these foregoing entities. The

Parties have stipulated that nothing in this Paragraph 4.6 is intended to restrict or limit the scope of the release for Covered Facilities as set forth in Paragraph 4.1.

- 4.7 Except as provided by this Final Judgment, the Parties reserve the right to pursue any claims not related to Covered Matters and any defense to such claims ("Reserved Claims").
- 4.8 In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People to enforce any Reserved Claims or claims otherwise excluded from this Final Judgment, Home Depot will not assert, plead or raise against the People in any fashion any defense or avoidance claiming that such failure to bring such claims as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches or any other lack of timeliness. This Paragraph does not affect any statute of limitations, if any, which may be applicable to any Reserved Claims or claims otherwise excluded from this Final Judgment.
- Depot from timely performing any obligation under Paragraph 3 of this Final Judgment, despite Home Depot's best efforts to fulfill the obligation, is a "force majeure" event. The requirement that Home Depot exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes the requirement that Home Depot use Home Depot's best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and use best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event (1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force majeure" does not include Home Depot's financial inability to fund or complete the obligation.

5. <u>NOTICE</u>

All submissions and notices required by this Final Judgment shall be sent to:

Plaintiff:

Brett J. Morris, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94162-0550
Brett.Morris@doj.ca.gov

and to:

David J. Irey, Esq.
Supervising Deputy District Attorney
San Joaquin County
San Joaquin County Courthouse
222 East Weber Avenue, Room 202
Stockton, CA 95202
david.irey@sicda.org

Home Depot:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

Celia J. Peressini, Esq. Senior Corporate Counsel The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. Store Support Center 2455 Paces Ferry Road, Bldg. C-20 Atlanta, GA 30339 Celia Peressini@homedepot.com

and to: Debra S. Belaga, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers LLP

275 Battery Street

San Francisco, CA 94111

dbelaga@omm.com

Any Party may change the contact person or the address for purpose of notices to that Party by specifying a new contact person or address in a notice delivered in writing to the person to whom notice shall be provided under this Paragraph. All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are addressed as provided in this Paragraph are effective (i) upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail; (ii) five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail; or, (iii) the day that electronic mail is sent if sent before 5 p.m. to the electronic mail addresses of the designated recipients for notice concurrent with sending the notice by overnight mail.

6. NECESSITY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS

All approvals and decisions of the People regarding any matter requiring approval or decision of the People under the terms of this Final Judgment shall be communicated in writing to Home Depot. No informal oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of the People regarding submissions or notices shall be construed to relieve Home Depot of its obligations under this Final Judgment. All approvals and decisions of Home Depot regarding any matter requiring approval or decision of Home Depot which are required to be communicated to the People under the terms of this Final Judgment shall be communicated in writing to the People.

7. <u>EFFECT OF JUDGMENT</u>

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the People or any state agency, department, board or entity, or any county, or any Certified Unified Program Agency, Participating Agency, Unified Program Agency or any other local agency from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation at the Covered Facilities or any other facility addressed or identified in this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Home Depot retains all of its defenses to the exercise of the authority by any of the aforementioned agencies or entities.

8. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations required by this Final Judgment.

9. REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Home Depot from meeting any more stringent requirements which may be imposed hereafter by changes in applicable and legally binding legislation or regulations.

10. APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This Final Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Plaintiff, Home Depot, and the successors or assigns of each of them; provided, however, that Paragraph 3 shall not apply to a Covered Facility that is sold or otherwise transferred to a person or entity that is not associated with Home Depot.

11. <u>CONTINUING JURISDICTION</u>

11.1 The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment. The People may move this Court to enjoin Home Depot from any violation of any provision of this Final

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judgment. The Parties shall meet and confer prior to the filing of any motion relating to this Final Judgment, any dispute regarding Home Depot's compliance with any requirement of the Permanent Injunction, or any dispute regarding Home Depot's administration of the HHM III or Fire Code Programs, and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any dispute without judicial intervention. If the Parties are unable to resolve a dispute during meet and confer discussions, either Party may move this Court seeking a resolution of that dispute by the Court. Any Party may invite any interested third party state or local governmental entity or agency to participate in any meet and confer discussion, and with the Court's consent, to participate in any court proceeding under this paragraph. Home Depot reserves its right to set forth any defenses to alleged violations, and the People reserves its rights to respond to any alleged defenses, before the Court.

11.2 At any time fifty-eight (58) months after the date of entry of this Final Judgment by the Court, any Party may provide notice to the Court (which shall be served on all Parties) that the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 6 of this Final Judgment should expire and have no further force or effect ("Notice of Termination"). The Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 3 will be of no further force or effect sixty (60) days thereafter, unless the People file a motion contesting the expiration of the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 3 within forty (40) days of receipt of the Notice of Termination. With the Court's consent, this motion filed by the People shall be set for hearing no later than sixty months (60) months after entry of this Final Judgment by the Court. In any event that such a motion is filed, none of the contested injunctive provisions of Paragraph 3 will terminate pending the Court's ruling on the motion. The People reserves its rights to contest termination exclusively on the grounds that Home Depot has not substantially complied in material respects with the injunctive provisions of Paragraph 3 or has not been reasonably diligent in administering a HHM III Program or a Fire Code Program, and to offer any evidence relevant to such motion. Home Depot reserves its rights to respond on any ground raised in this motion and to offer any evidence relevant to such contesting motion filed by the People. The provisions of the Permanent Injunction in Paragraph 3 will expire and be of no further force or effect unless the Court (upon consideration of the Parties' pleadings and arguments, if any) determines that the expiration of the provisions would not be in the interest of justice, because the

23

24

25

26

27

Home Depot has not substantially complied in material respects with the injunctive provisions of Paragraph 3 or has not been reasonably diligent in administering a HHM III Program or a Fire Code Program. The termination of any of the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 3 shall have no effect on Home Depot's obligation to comply with requirements imposed by statute, regulation, ordinance, or law.

12. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

On reasonable notice and subject to all of the defenses Home Depot would have to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery employed by the People, the following duly authorized representative of the undersigned offices shall be permitted to inspect and copy such records and documents in the possession of Home Depot as may be reasonably necessary to determine whether Home Depot is in compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment: David J. Irey of the San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office, and Brett J. Morris of the California Attorney General's Office. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents which are protected from production by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges, defenses, exemptions, or immunities afforded to Home Depot under law. Home Depot shall retain for a minimum of three (3) years the following documents, regardless of whether Home Depot or a contractor prepares the document: manifests, shipping documents, inspections forms, sweep logs, and records of attendance pertaining to training, as required by the procedures of HHM III. However, the Parties agree that Home Depot may not be deemed in violation of this Paragraph 12 for failure to maintain such records unless Home Depot fails to exercise reasonable diligence in administering this record retention requirement. Except as described in this paragraph and in Paragraph 3, nothing in this paragraph is intended to require Home Depot to keep any records beyond the Home Depot's normal records retention requirements, including but not limited to policies regarding backup tapes for electronic documents.

13. CERTIFICATION

Whenever this Final Judgment requires the certification by the Home Depot, such certification shall be provided by a Home Depot representative at a managerial level in charge of environmental compliance matters, or by an officer of the corporation. Each certification shall read as follows:

To the best of my knowledge, based on information and belief and after reasonable investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are civil and criminal penalties for submitting false information.

14. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

In the event that a Party brings an action to enforce any of the terms of this Final Judgment, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to its reasonable costs, including attorney's fees and costs, including any costs for expert witnesses or other costs.

15. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Home Depot shall pay its own attorney's fees, expert witness fees and costs, and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

16. COOPERATION BY HOME DEPOT

Home Depot shall, at the People's reasonable request and upon reasonable notice, provide documents in Home Depot's possession or control to the People for use as evidence in potential legal actions against EI, Slate, the 3E Company, Estes, Exel, and any subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of these foregoing entities; provided, however, that this obligation shall terminate no later than two years after the date of entry of this Final Judgment and shall not require Home Depot to alter its normal retention policies (including but not limited to policies regarding backup tapes for electronic documents). Nothing in this paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents which are protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges, defenses, exemptions, or immunities afforded to Home Depot under law, nor does it waive any of the objections or defenses to which Home Depot would be entitled in responding to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery.

17. <u>INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS</u>

Each of the Exhibits is incorporated herein by reference.

28 | / /

MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT 18. This Final Judgment may be modified only upon written consent by the Parties hereto and the approval of the Court as provided for by law. IT IS SO ORDERED. October 2, 2007 Dated:

Original signed by William F. Highberger

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit A

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General Exempt from fees pursuant to of the State of California Government Code Section 61031 TOM GREENE Chief Assistant Attorney General THEODORA P. BERGEŘ Senior Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRETT J. MORRIS, SBN 158408 Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor 6 RECEIVED P. O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 AUG 1 7 2007 Telephone: (510) 622-2176 Fax: (510) 622-2270 8 DEPT. 32 Attorneys for Plaintiff. People of the State of California 10 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 14 Case No.: Plaintiff. 15 v. 16 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT 17 HOME DEPOT U.S.A., Inc., a Delaware (Section 664.6, C.C.P.) Corporation, and DOES 1 through 99, 18 Defendants. 19 20 WHEREAS, the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (hereinafter "the People") 21 have investigated compliance by Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (hereinafter "Settling Defendant" or "Home Depot") with: (a) Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code; (b) Section 17200 et sea, of the Business and Professions Code; (c) California Labor Code Division 5, Parts 1, 6, 7 and 11; (d) California Vehicle Code Division 11, Chapter 12: Division 12, Chapter 5; Division 13, Chapter 5; and Divisions 14.1, 14.7 and 14.8; and (e) associated implementing regulations pertaining to each of the foregoing; all relating to handling, 27 disposal, spill, release, reporting, transportation, labeling, marking, cleanup and any other

Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment

requirements relating to, arising out of or involving hazardous waste and/or hazardous materials. In addition, the People have investigated compliance with Local, State and Uniform Fire Codes.

WHEREAS, Home Depot has cooperated with the People's investigation and voluntarily produced documents and provided extensive information to the People. Since at least July 2005, Home Depot has dedicated significant additional resources towards environmental compliance and has further enhanced its hazardous waste and hazardous materials compliance programs. Home Depot contends that its Handling Hazardous Materials program (hereinafter "HHM III") has been designed to be a state-of-the-art program and includes policies and procedures that in some cases are more stringent than applicable law.

WHEREAS, the People have engaged in settlement negotiations with the Settling

Defendant prior to the initiation of litigation. In these negotiations, the People were represented
by the Attorney General of the State of California, the City Attorney of Los Angeles, and the

District Attorneys for the Counties of Los Angeles, Monterey, Riverside, San Joaquin, and Santa

Clara. These offices were supported by the investigational efforts of numerous prosecutorial

offices and local agencies throughout the State of California.

WHEREAS, the People and the Settling Defendant (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Parties" or individually as "Party") have agreed to settle the matters under investigation and the facts, causes of action, violations, and claims alleged in the Complaint filed in this matter (collectively "Claims"), without litigation and by lodging this proposed STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT ("Stipulation") and a proposed PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT ("Final Judgment") simultaneously with the Complaint. The People believe that the resolution of the Claims as set forth in this Stipulation and the proposed Final Judgment is fair and reasonable and fulfills the People's enforcement objectives, that no further action is warranted concerning the Claims except as provided pursuant to the Final Judgment, and that the proposed Final Judgment is in the best interest of the general public.

26 ///

27 1/

28 1///

1. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In this action, the People filed a civil COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF ("Complaint") in the Los Angeles Superior Court against Settling Defendant. The Parties settle this action on the terms set forth in this Stipulation and request that this Court enter the proposed Final Judgment incorporating the terms of this Stipulation.

2. COMPLAINT

The Complaint in this action alleges that the Settling Defendant engaged in conduct that violated provisions of: (a) Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code; (b) Section 17200 et seq. of the California Business and Professions Code; (c) California Labor Code Division 5, Parts 1, 6, 7 and 11; (d) California Vehicle Code Division 11, Chapter 12; Division 12, Chapter 5; Division 13, Chapter 5; and Divisions 14.1, 14.7 and 14.8; and, (e) associated implementing regulations pertaining to each of the foregoing; all relating to handling, disposal, spill, release, reporting, transportation, labeling, marking, cleanup and any other requirements relating to, arising out of or involving hazardous waste and/or hazardous materials. In addition, the Complaint alleges violation of Local, State and Uniform Fire Codes. A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached as Attachment "A" to this Stipulation. The facilities that are addressed by this Stipulation and the Final Judgment are any and all facilities that are or were owned, operated or acquired by Home Depot in the State of California as of the date that this Stipulation is executed by all Parties, including but not limited to open, closed and acquired facilities (hereinafter "Covered Facilities"). The specific list of Covered Facilities attached to the Complaint shall not be to the exclusion of other facilities that may have been inadvertently omitted from the list, where the Parties agree in writing that an omitted facility should be included. As to any facilities that have been omitted, Settling Defendant shall provide the following to the People on a quarterly basis: (a) written notice of such additional facilities after the omission comes to the attention of the Home Depot's Store Support Center; and (b) to the best of Defendant's knowledge and belief, copies of any notices of violation and/or governmental inspection reports applicable to such facilities that have been received by that

facility since January 1, 2003. If, after the People have had sufficient time in which to review the alleged reason for the omission, and after Home Depot has established to the satisfaction of the People that the omission was inadvertent, the Parties shall agree in writing that the additional facility be included in the Final Judgment.

3. JURISDICTION

For purposes of this Stipulation and the Final Judgment only, the People and Settling

Defendant agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, has subject matter
jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to the
Stipulation and the Final Judgment.

4. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

This Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment is neither an admission nor a denial by Settling Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law. The Parties enter into this Stipulation pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims, as set forth in the Complaint, for the purpose of furthering the public interest. Settling Defendant waives its right to a hearing on any matter covered by the Complaint prior to the entry of the Final Judgment. The Parties agree that this Stipulation is not admissible in any proceeding involving non-parties to the Final Judgment. Settling Defendant reserves the right, for purposes of non-party proceedings, to deny the issues of law and fact in the Final Judgment and exhibits hereto.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payment: Settling Defendant shall pay a total of Nine Million, Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$9,900,000.00), which shall be allocated as follows:
- a. <u>Civil Penalties</u>: Seven Million, Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$7,250,000.00), to be disbursed as set forth in Exhibit B attached to the Final Judgment.
- b. <u>Costs</u>: One Million, Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,300,000.00), as partial recovery of costs incurred by the People in connection with the investigation of this matter, to be disbursed as set forth in Exhibit C attached to the Final Judgment.

People to Settling Defendant following the entry of the Final Judgment.

28

28 ///

c. Settling Defendant shall deliver to the Payment Administrator the aforementioned payments set forth in Paragraph 5.1 within twenty (20) days of the Court's entry of the Final Judgment. In the alternative, payment may be made by wire transfer and such transfers shall be electronically transmitted to an account and routing number as directed in writing by the People to Settling Defendant within twenty (20) days following the entry of the Final Judgment. The Payment Administrator shall be responsible for disbursing the settlement funds to the entities identified in Exhibits B, C, D, and E in accordance with the terms of the Final Judgment and applicable Exhibits.

d. A photocopy of all of the payments made by Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 (or electronic confirmation of the wire transfer) shall be sent, at the same time that they are delivered or transferred for payment, to each of the People's representatives identified in Paragraph 8.

6. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Subject to Paragraph 16.2 of the Final Judgment and pursuant to provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181 and 25516.2, Business and Professions Code section 17203, and the Court's equitable powers, Settling Defendant shall undertake the following actions related to: (a) Covered Facilities that have not been permanently closed as of the date of entry of the Final Judgment by the Court; and, (b) any newly constructed facilities that Settling Defendant shall open after entry of the Final Judgment. The Permanent Injunction shall not apply to: (a) any facility that Settling Defendant may acquire after entry of the Final Judgment; or, (b) any facility once it has been closed and no hazardous material or hazardous waste remains at the premises. Any violation of the Permanent Injunction required by the Final Judgment shall be considered separate and in addition to any violation of the statutory or regulatory requirements.

6.1 Licensed Hazardous Waste Haulers: Settling Defendant shall only engage in the transportation of hazardous waste, or transfer custody of hazardous waste for transport to hazardous waste transporters, in compliance with Section 25163 of the California Health and Safety Code.

6

11

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

2728

Certification of Hazardous Waste Program: Within 30 days of entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, and annually thereafter for the duration of the Permanent Injunction. Settling Defendant shall submit a certification to the People that an HHM III Program. or an equivalent program to that attached as Exhibit G to the Final Judgment (filed under seal), has been adopted and administered for the duration of the year at each of the Covered Facilities that contain or generate hazardous materials or hazardous waste in the State of California. In addition, Settling Defendant shall provide an annual certification to the People beginning on the same date as the other certifications required hereunder in 2008, and continuing through the duration of the Permanent Injunction, that Settling Defendant has reviewed the HHM III or equivalent program and has updated that program to address intervening changes in applicable California laws relating to hazardous waste. As used in this paragraph, "equivalent program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the HWCL in all material respects. For the duration of the Permanent Injunction, at the close of each quarter of each year Settling Defendant shall provide in accordance with the notice provisions of Paragraph 8 a copy of the most current version of the programs administered pursuant to this paragraph. The copies provided shall be electronically redlined to specifically identify any additions or deletions made to each program as compared to the last version of that program provided to the People.

- Judgment by the Court, and annually thereafter for the duration of the Permanent Injunction, Settling Defendant shall submit a certification that, to the best of Settling Defendant's knowledge and belief, California governmental notices of violations and inspection reports (collectively "Inspections") relating to the violations alleged in the Complaint that are received by a Covered Facility or the Store Support Center of Home Depot, and any associated responsive correspondence ("Responses"), have been retained by Settling Defendant. True and correct copies of such Inspections and Responses, and all attachments thereto, shall be provided on a quarterly basis to the San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office and to the California Attorney General's Office.
- 6.4 Hazardous Waste Training: Settling Defendant shall maintain and administer a program to provide training to such employees in California as are required to be trained

in hazardous waste management procedures pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25123.3(h) and any other regulations applicable to the Covered Facilities, and shall maintain documentation of such training as provided under that program for the duration of the Permanent Injunction. Settling Defendant contends that as of the date of the entry of this Stipulation, the Covered Facilities qualify as small quantity generators or conditionally exempt generators and that at the time of the entry of this Stipulation training for large quantity generators is not required for the Covered Facilities.

- 6.5 Hazardous Materials Training: Settling Defendant shall maintain and administer a program to provide training to such employees in California as are required to be trained in hazardous materials handling procedures pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25504 and all other training requirements pursuant to Chapter 6.95 and its implementing regulations applicable at the Covered Facilities, and shall maintain documentation of such training as provided under that program for the duration of the Permanent Injunction.
- 6.6 Hazardous Waste Transport Containers: Settling Defendant shall maintain and administer a corporate policy that requires hazardous waste transporters that transport hazardous waste from Covered Facilities for off-site disposal to use Department of Transportation-approved containers, in accordance with Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.30.

6.7 Fire Code Program:

a. Except as provided in subdivision (c) of this Paragraph, prior to the execution of the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment, Settling Defendant shall provide to the People a proposed Fire Code Program that has been developed to comply with applicable Local, State, and Uniform fire codes (hereinafter "the fire codes"). Settling Defendant shall adopt and begin to administer this Fire Code Program at all Covered Facilities that are "Home Depot" branded retail stores prior to the entry of the Final Judgment by the Court. At all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores, Settling Defendant shall deliver to each facility a communication that requires each facility to comply with the fire codes prior to the entry of the Final Judgment. Settling Defendant shall develop an enhanced version of the existing fire code procedures (an "interim Fire Code Program") and adopt and begin to administer the interim Fire Code Program

at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores by September 15, 2007. Settling Defendant then shall promptly review and evaluate compliance with the fire codes at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores to identify whether any modifications to the interim Fire Code Program may be necessary. Settling Defendant shall adopt and begin to administer the revised Fire Code Program at all Covered Facilities that are not "Home Depot" branded retail stores by January 15, 2008. As used in this paragraph, "equivalent program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the fire codes in all material respects.

- b. Settling Defendant shall initiate the process of applying for any variances or opinions from the State Fire Marshal relating to the issues described in Exhibit H, attached to the Final Judgment, within 60 days after entry of the Final Judgment and thereafter promptly exhaust all administrative and/or legal remedies relating to such variances.
- c. The People shall not pursue any violations of the Permanent Injunction against Settling Defendant based on any alleged violation or penalty relating to the issues #3, 7, and 8 identified in Exhibit H attached to the Final Judgment through and until October 15, 2007, and issues #1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 identified in Exhibit H attached to the Final Judgment through and until November 30, 2007. However, this exclusion shall not apply to any release or incident involving an imminent risk of death, serious bodily injury, explosion, or fire. Settling Defendant may provide notice of the Final Judgment to any Certified Unified Program Agency ("CUPA") and local fire department in California at the time of execution of the Stipulation to the Entry of Final Judgment by the Parties, and may request that any agency provide comments or objections. If a local fire department pursues any enforcement action relating to violations of the fire codes alleged to have occurred prior to October 15, 2007 and November 30, 2007, as applicable, Settling Defendant may notify the relevant agency of this Stipulation to the Entry of Final Judgment.
- d. By January 15, 2008, Settling Defendant shall submit a certification to the People that Settling Defendant has adopted and is administering a Fire Code Program in the State of California at all Covered Facilities that are subject to the fire codes. For the duration of the Permanent Injunction, Settling Defendant also shall annually submit a certification to the People that Settling Defendant administered for the duration of the previous year the Fire Code Program in the

State of California at all Covered Facilities that are subject to the fire codes. As used in this paragraph, "Fire Code Program" shall mean a program that achieves equivalent compliance with the fire codes in all material respects. For the duration of the Permanent Injunction, at the close of each quarter of each year Settling Defendant shall provide in accordance with the notice provisions of Paragraph 8 a copy of the most current version of the programs administered pursuant to this paragraph. The copies provided shall be electronically red-lined to specifically identify any additions or deletions made to each program as compared to the last version of that program provided to the People.

7. MATTERS TO BE COVERED BY THE FINAL JUDGMENT

7.1 This Stipulation and the entry of the Final Judgment shall be a final and binding resolution and settlement of all Claims that have been alleged, or Claims that could have been asserted within the scope of the allegations set forth, in the Complaint in this matter up until execution of this Stipulation by the Parties, according to statute, regulation, or ordinance by or through the People of the State of California ("Covered Matters"), against Settling Defendant and its subsidiaries, affiliates and corporate parents, and each of their affiliates and parents, Covered Facilities, successors, heirs, assigns, and their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, representatives, property owners, and facility operators ("Entities Covered by Settlement"). The People further covenant not to sue the Entities Covered by Settlement for any Covered Matter. Except as expressly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

In the event that litigation is filed by an entity which is not a party to this action against one of the Entities Covered by Settlement based upon Claims alleged in the Complaint, or which could have been asserted based on the Claims alleged in the Complaint, Settling Defendant shall notify the People within thirty (30) days of service of such litigation on Settling Defendant. If the People determine that the subsequent litigation is barred by the principles in this paragraph, the People will not oppose Settling Defendant in arguing that the subsequent litigation is barred by the principle of res judicata. No language in this paragraph nor any language in paragraph 6 will preclude Settling Defendant from asserting in any subsequent litigation any and all legal and equitable defenses regarding compliance with any provision in the Final Judgment or the

III

laws or regulations cited in the Final Judgment or cited in the Complaint, including, but not limited to, res judicata.

- 7.2 The provisions of Paragraph 7.1 are effective as of the date of the entry of the Final Judgment but the continuing effect of such provisions is expressly conditioned on Settling Defendant's full payment of the civil penalty, costs and other commitment of payments specified in Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 of the Final Judgment.
- 7.3 Paragraphs 7.1 and 7.2 have no effect on the ability of the People to enforce the terms of the Final Judgment, or to pursue or file a separate or additional action for any subsequent violation of statutory or regulatory requirements. The Parties intend that the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction to address any future claims for injunctive relief, penalty assessment or other relief for the Covered Facilities against Settling Defendant arising from or related to any alleged or actual violations of the Final Judgment.
- 7.4 Any violations of law, statute, regulation or ordinance, if any, by Settling Defendant which are not based on Claims alleged in the Complaint, or are not addressed as a Covered Matter in the Final Judgment, or which occur or exist after entry of the Final Judgment is fully executed, are not resolved, settled or covered by this Stipulation or the Final Judgment.
- 7.5 Settling Defendant covenants not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or agencies of the State of California, or any Cartification of the State of California, or any Fire department or agency, or any Certified Unified Program Agency, Participating Agency or Unified Program Agency as those terms are defined pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, section 25281, subdivisions (d)(1), (2) and (3) (collectively "Agencies"), or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys, arising out of or related to any matter expressly addressed by this Stipulation or the Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant reserves any and all rights to pursue any and all rights, claims, demands and defenses against such Agencies.
- 7.6 Notwithstanding any other provision of the Final Judgment, any claims or causes of action arising out of past or future releases, spills, leaks, discharges or disposal of

hazardous wastes or hazardous substances caused or contributed to by Settling Defendant that may have occurred or may occur at a location that is not a Covered Facility for:

- a. performance of cleanup, corrective action or response action;
- b. criminal penalties, civil penalties, damages, injunctive relief; or,
- c. recovery of response costs,

are not resolved by the Final Judgment, and such claims or causes of action, if any, are reserved by the People of the State of California; provided, however, that this exclusion does not apply to any matter asserted against the Settling Defendant arising out of or relating to the handling, disposal and/or transportation of hazardous materials and/or waste by EI, Slate, the 3E Company, Estes Trucking Company ("Estes"), Exel, and any subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of these foregoing entities. The Parties agree that nothing in this Paragraph 7.6 is intended to restrict or limit the scope of the release for Covered Facilities as set forth in Paragraph 7.1.

- 7.7 Except as provided by the Final Judgment, the Parties reserve the right to pursue any claims not related to Covered Matters and any defense to such claims ("Reserved Claims").
- 7.8 In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People to enforce any Reserved Claims or claims otherwise excluded from this settlement, Settling Defendant will not assert, plead or raise against the People in any fashion any defense or avoidance claiming that such failure to bring such claims as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches or any other lack of timeliness. This Paragraph does not affect any statute of limitations, if any, which may be applicable to any Reserved Claims or claims otherwise excluded from this settlement.
- 7.9 Any event that is beyond the control of Settling Defendant and that prevents Settling Defendant from timely performing any obligation under Section 6 of the Final Judgment, despite Settling Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation, is a "force majeure" event. The requirement that Settling Defendant exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes the requirement that Settling Defendant use Settling Defendant's best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and use best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event (1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to

1	the greatest extent possible. "Force majeure" does not include Settling Defendant's financia
2	inability to fund or complete the obligation.
3	8. <u>NOTICE</u>
4	All submissions and notices required by the Final Judgment shall be sent to:
5	Plaintiff:
6	Brett J. Morris, Esq.
7	Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
8	1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor P.O. Box 70550
9	Oakland, CA 94162-0550 Brett.Morris@doj.ca.gov
10	and to:
11	David J. Irey, Esq.
12	Supervising Deputy District Attorney San Joaquin County
13	San Joaquin County Courthouse 222 East Weber Avenue, Room 202
14	Stockton, CA 95202 david.irey@sicda.org
15	Settling Defendant:
16	Celia J. Peressini, Esq.
17	Senior Corporate Counsel The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.
18	Store Support Center 2455 Paces Ferry Road, Bldg. C-20
H	Atlanta, GA 30339
19	Celia Peressini@homedepot.com
20	and to:
21	Debra S. Belaga, Esq. O'Melveny & Myers LLP
22	275 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111
23	dbelaga@omm.com
24	Any Party may change the contact person or the address for purpose of notices to that Party
25	by specifying a new contact person or address in a notice delivered in writing to the person to whom
26	notice shall be provided under this Paragraph. All notices and other communications required or
27	permitted under the Final Judgment that are addressed as provided in this Paragraph are effective (i)
28	upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail; (ii) five (5) days following deposit in the

concurrent with sending the notice by overnight mail. 9. NECESSITY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS

All approvals and decisions of the People regarding any matter requiring approval or decision of the People under the terms of this Stipulation shall be communicated in writing to Settling Defendant. No informal oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of the People regarding submissions or notices shall be construed to relieve Settling Defendant of its obligations under the Final Judgment. All approvals and decisions of Settling Defendant regarding any matter requiring approval or decision of Settling Defendant which are required to be communicated to the People under the terms of the Final Judgment shall be communicated in writing to the People.

United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail; or, (iii) the day that electronic mail is sent

if sent before 5 p.m. to the electronic mail addresses of the designated recipients for notice

10. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment, nothing in this Stipulation is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the People or any state agency, department, board or entity, or any county, or any Certified Unified Program Agency, Participating Agency, Unified Program. Agency or any other local agency from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation at the Covered Facilities or any other facility addressed or identified in the Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment, Settling Defendant retains all of its defenses to the exercise of the authority by any of the aforementioned agencies or entities.

11. PLAINTIFF IS NOT LIABLE

The Plaintiff shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Settling Defendant, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors in carrying out activities pursuant to the Final Judgment, nor shall the Plaintiff be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by Settling Defendant, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, or contractors in carrying out activities required pursuant to the Final Judgment.

28 1///

12. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of the Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of the Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of the Final Judgment. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in the Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations required by the Final Judgment.

13. REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in the Final Judgment shall excuse Settling Defendant from meeting any more stringent requirements which may be imposed hereafter by changes in applicable and legally binding legislation or regulations.

14. APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Final Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Plaintiff, Settling Defendant, and the successors or assigns of each of them; provided, however, that Paragraph 6 shall not apply to a Covered Facility that is sold or otherwise transferred to a person or entity that is not associated with Settling Defendant.

15. AUTHORITY TO ENTER STIPULATION

Each signatory to this Stipulation certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Stipulation, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that Party.

16. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding the Final Judgment. The People may move the Court to enjoin Settling Defendant from any violation of any provision of the Final Judgment. The Parties shall meet and confer prior to the filing of any motion relating to the Final Judgment, any dispute regarding Settling Defendant's compliance with any requirement of the Permanent Injunction, or any dispute regarding Settling Defendant's administration of the HHM III or Fire Code Programs, and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any dispute

28

without judicial intervention. If the Parties are unable to resolve a dispute during meet and confer discussions, either Party may move the Court seeking a resolution of that dispute by the Court. Any Party may invite any interested third party state or local governmental entity or agency to participate in any meet and confer discussion, and with the Court's consent, to participate in any court proceeding under this paragraph. Settling Defendant reserves its right to set forth any defenses to alleged violations, and the People reserves its rights to respond to any alleged defenses, before the Court.

At any time fifty-eight (58) months after the date of entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, any Party may provide notice to the Court (which shall be served on all Parties) that the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 6 of the Final Judgment should expire and have no further force or effect ("Notice of Termination"). The Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 6 will be of no further force or effect sixty (60) days thereafter, unless the People file a motion contesting the expiration of the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph 6 within forty (40) days of receipt of the Notice of Termination. With the Court's consent, this motion filed by the People shall be set for hearing no later than sixty months (60) months after entry of the Final Judgment by the Court. In any event that such a motion is filed, none of the contested injunctive provisions of Paragraph 6 will terminate pending the Court's ruling on the motion. The People reserves its rights to contest termination exclusively on the grounds that Settling Defendant has not substantially complied in material respects with the injunctive provisions of Paragraph 6 or has not been reasonably diligent in administering a HHM III Program or a Fire Code Program, and to offer any evidence relevant to such motion. Settling Defendant reserves its rights to respond on any ground raised in this motion and to offer any evidence relevant to such contesting motion filed by the People. The provisions of the Permanent Injunction in Paragraph 6 will expire and be of no further force or effect unless the Court (upon consideration of the Parties' pleadings and arguments, if any) determines that the expiration of the provisions would not be in the interest of justice, because the Settling Defendant has not substantially complied in material respects with the injunctive provisions of Paragraph 6 or has not been reasonably diligent in administering a HHM III Program or a Fire Code Program. The termination of any of the Permanent Injunction provisions of Paragraph

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

6 shall have no effect on Settling Defendant's obligation to comply with requirements imposed by statute, regulation, ordinance, or law.

17. NON-DISCHARGEABILITY OF OBLIGATIONS

Any payments required by Settling Defendant pursuant to the Final Judgment are not dischargeable in bankruptcy.

18. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

On reasonable notice and subject to all of the defenses Settling Defendant would have to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery employed by the People, the following duly authorized representative of the undersigned offices shall be permitted to inspect and copy such records and documents in the possession of Settling Defendant as may be reasonably necessary to determine whether Settling Defendant is in compliance with the terms of the Final Judgment: David J. Irey of the San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office, and Brett J. Morris of the California Attorney General's Office. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents which are protected from production by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges, defenses, exemptions, or immunities afforded to Settling Defendant under law. Settling Defendant shall retain for a minimum of three (3) years the following documents, regardless of whether Settling Defendant or a contractor prepares the document: manifests, shipping documents, inspections forms, sweep logs, and records of attendance pertaining to training, as required by the procedures of HHM III. However, the Parties agree that Settling Defendant may not be deemed in violation of this Paragraph 18 for failure to maintain such records unless Settling Defendant fails to exercise reasonable diligence in administering this record retention requirement. Except as described in this paragraph and in Paragraph 6, nothing in this paragraph is intended to require Settling Defendant to keep any records beyond the Settling Defendant's normal records retention requirements, including but not limited to policies regarding backup tapes for electronic documents.

19. <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

Whenever the Final Judgment requires the certification by the Settling Defendant, such certification shall be provided by a Settling Defendant representative at a managerial level in charge

of environmental compliance matters, or by an officer of the corporation. Each certification shall read as follows:

To the best of my knowledge, based on information and belief and after reasonable investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are civil and criminal penalties for submitting false information.

20. ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

In the event that a Party brings an action to enforce any of the terms of the Final Judgment, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to its reasonable costs, including attorney's fees and costs, including any costs for expert witnesses or other costs.

21. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Settling Defendant shall pay its own attorney's fees, expert witness fees and costs, and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

22. INTERPRETATION

This Stipulation and the Final Judgment shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, the Parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting Party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of the Final Judgment.

23. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

This Stipulation may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, and when a copy is signed by an authorized representative of each Party, the counterparts of the Stipulation shall be effective as if a single document were signed by all Parties.

24. COOPERATION BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

It is the intention of Settling Defendant to cooperate with the People in addressing potential violations of environmental laws by EI, Slate, the 3E Company, Estes, Exel, and any subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of these foregoing entities. Settling Defendant agrees that, at the People's reasonable request and upon reasonable notice, Settling Defendant will provide documents in Settling Defendant's possession or control to the People for use as evidence in potential legal actions against EI, Slate, the 3E Company, Estes, Exel, and any subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of these

foregoing entities; provided, however, that this obligation shall terminate no later than two years after the date of entry of the Final Judgment and shall not require Settling Defendant to alter its normal retention policies (including but not limited to policies regarding backup tapes for electronic documents). Nothing in this paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents which are protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges, defenses, exemptions, or immunities afforded to Settling Defendant under law, nor does it waive any of the objections or defenses to which Settling Defendant would be entitled in responding to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery.

25. <u>INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS</u>

Each of the Exhibits is incorporated herein by reference.

26. ENTRY AFTER NOTICED MOTION

This Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment shall be brought before the Court for approval on noticed motion and the Court shall be requested to make a fairness determination in order to ensure that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest. By entering this Stipulation, the Parties represent to the Court that the Entry of the Final Judgment results in a full, fair, and final resolution of the Claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the Claims alleged therein. Settling Defendant has indicated that it may provide written notice of the proposed settlement to state, county, municipal, and local officials with authority to bring any claims which are designated in Paragraph 7.1 as matters covered by the Final Judgment. Settling Defendant represents that if such notice is provided it will include a complete copy of the Final Judgment, the moving papers in support of the motion for entry of the Final Judgment, and will specifically recite the terms of Paragraph 7.1.

27. INTEGRATION

This Stipulation constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Final Judgment.

28 1 / /

28. MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT The Final Judgment may be modified only upon written consent by the Parties hereto and the approval of the court as provided for by law. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 8 August 13, 2007 9 Dated: EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California 10 TOM GREENE Chief Assistant Attorney General THEODORA P. BERGEŘ 11 Senior Assistant Attorney General 12 KEN ALEX Supervising Deputy Attorney General 13 14 15 Original signed by Brett J. Morris 16 BRETT J. MORKIS 17 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 People of the State of California 19 20 August 13, 2007 21 Dated: ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO, City Attorney of the City of Los Angeles 22 23 24 Original signed by Rockard J. Delgadillo 25 ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO, City Attorney 26 of the City of Los Angeles 27

28

August 12, 2007	
2 Dated: August 13, 2007	STEVE COOLEY, District Attorney
3	of the County of Los Angeles STANLEY P. WILLIAMS
.1	Assistant Head Deputy District Attorney DANIEL J. WRIGHT
4	Deputy District Attorney
5	
6	
₇₇	
	Original signed by Daniel J. Wright
3	DANIEL J. WRIGHT
, [Deputy District Attorney
,	
August 13, 2007	MILLY DE TEMPO DE LA LA
Dated:	DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney of the County of Monterey
	of the County of Monterey MATT BOGOSHIAN
1	Deputy District Attorney
· ·	
	Original signed by Matt Bogoshian
	MATT BOGOSHIAN
	Deputy District Attorney
August 12, 2007	
Dated: August 13, 2007	ROD PACHECO, District Attorney
	of the County of Riverside DEENA M. BENNETT
	Supervising Deputy District Attorney STEPHANIE B. WEISSMAN
	Deputy District Attorney
	·
	•
	Original signed by Stephanie B. Weissma
	STEPHANIE B. WEISSMAN
	Deputy District Attorney
	21
Stin	ulation for Entry of Final Judgment

(
3		JAMES P. WILLETT, District Attorney of the County of San Joaquin DAVID J. IREY
4		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
5 6		Original signed by David J. Irey
7		DAVID J. IREY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
. 8	August 13, 2007	
9 10	Dated: August 13, 2007	DOLORES A. CARR, District Attorney of the County of Santa Clara JOHN FIORETTA
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		
13		Original signed by John Fioretta
14		JOHN FIORETTA Deputy District Attorney
15		
16	FOR THE SETTLING DEFENDANT:	
17 13	August 14, 2007	Original signed by George Sherman
19		GEORGE SHERMAN Senior Vice President - Operations
19 20		
	Approved as to Form:	Senior Vice President - Operations
20)	Senior Vice President - Operations
20 21 22 23	Approved as to Form: Dated: August 13, 2007	Senior Vice President - Operations
20 21 22 23 24)	Senior Vice President - Operations The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
20 21 22 23 24 25)	Senior Vice President - Operations The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
20 21 22 23 24 25 26)	Senior Vice President - Operations The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP By: Original signed by James R. Asperger
20 21 22 23 24 25)	Senior Vice President - Operations The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP By:

Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment